



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/840,282	04/23/2001	Gabor Lederer	GL5	3280
25305	7590	04/08/2004	EXAMINER	
ISRAEL NISSENBAUM			VO, TUYET THI	
1038-56TH ST			ART UNIT	
BROOKLYN, NY 11219			PAPER NUMBER	

2821

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/840,282

Applicant(s)

LEDERER, GABOR

Examiner

Tuyet Vo

Art Unit

2821

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 February 2004.
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☒ Claim(s) 9 and 10 is/are allowed.
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 and 11-13 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) ☐ Other: _____.

Detailed Action

1. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Remarks

Amendment filed October 20, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this

Art Unit: 2821

subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 4, 5-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Horowitz (US Pat. 6,053,622).

Regarding claim 1, Horowitz discloses a device for automatically electrical lighting a ceremonial display of individually visible separate lights (14a-14i) of a ceremonial display member (10) in a specifically desired sequence and number at specific time (columns 1 and 2), the device comprises an electronic timer (130) coupled with pre-assignment sequence circuitry means (100), the timer and the sequence circuitry means adapted to remember and effect the lighting of individually visible lights, as desired, in a proper form, number and sequence, in a display of individual lights suitable for a specific time of a holiday, event or occasion for which a sequential timed light display is desired (col. 6, lines 58-67 and col. 7, lines 1-30).

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Horowitz discloses substantially the claim invention as noted above and further extends the capability of a microprocessor being programmed for more option to an user, in that the user can select either a certain lighting manner via an external input or without any external intervention so as the lighting device can be independently operated candles in sequence (col. 1, lines 13-30, lines 53-60 and col. 7, lines 47-60), wherein the lighting device is powered by a battery or by AC outlet power (col. 2, lines 5-9).

Regarding claims 6 and 7, Horowitz discloses substantially the claim invention and further discloses the lighting device comprising a timing circuit (37) and a micro-processor (50) which controls lighting sequence of lighting device, thus, the micro-processor inherently includes a lighting generator associated with the timer for generating the lighting pattern at desired time (col. 4, lines 56-68 and col. 7, lines 1-31).

Regarding claim 8, Horowitz discloses substantially the claim invention and further discloses the lighting device comprising a micro-processor functioning as a sequence of event generator which includes controller, a driver circuit, a clock generator, a memory means and power management circuitry, wherein the clock generator is linked to the sequence of events generator to provide a timing function and to contain lighting and sequence requirements and the power management circuitry is adapted to manage battery power by shutting down the unused

Art Unit: 2821

sections of the controller and driver circuitries to save power consumptions when not need (col. 2, lines 1-10).

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Horowitz further discloses a menorah having eight/seven individual lights (17b-17i) are lighted up over a period of eight/seven days in a manner similarly to the claim invention (col. 1, lines 13-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior arts are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2, 3 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Horowitz in view of Lowe et al. (US Pat. 6,424,096), hereinafter Lowe.

Horowitz discloses substantially the claim invention as noted above in that the device is programmed to effect lighting of lights in a predetermined sequence and number over a period of a pre-selected number of days (col. 7, lines 3-18). However, Horowitz does not disclose a plurality of light sets of a lighting display member, wherein each light set comprises at least two light sources.

Lowe discloses a lighting circuit for Christmas lights comprising a plurality of light sets (light string #1-4), wherein each light set comprises at least two light sources (Fig. 2).

It would have been an obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the light sets as taught by Lowe into the Horowitz ceremonial lighting circuit in order to maximize lighting effect at desired manner for visualizing purpose. Such implementation is considered as a routine skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 9 and 10 are allowed.
7. Claims 9 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to include a reset circuit linked to the sequence of events generator for resetting the missing event by restoring the device to a correct desired lighting sequence as required in claim 9.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyet Vo whose telephone number is 571 272 1830. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on 571 272 1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned is 703 872 9306 for regular communications and 703 308 7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0956.


Tuyet Vo

April 02, 2003

Primary Examiner